

REMARKS

Claims 1-68 were presented for examination and were pending in this application. In the latest Office Action, claims 1-68 were subject to a restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. § 121.

In making the restriction requirement, the examiner identified two distinct inventions:

- Species I (“time based media is processed by a media processing system of a printer”); and
- Species II (“the time based media is being processed in part within the printing system”).

In response to this restriction requirement, Applicants elect *without traverse* to prosecute Species I in this application.

Statement of Substance of Interview: Applicants’ representative Robert Hulse conducted a brief interview on August 22, 2006, with examiner King Y. Poon to discuss the restriction requirement. In this interview, it was agreed that all of the claims read on at least Species I and will therefore remain in this application. It was further agreed that at least claim 51 is generic to both Species I and II. Whether any of the other independent claims are generic or otherwise read on Species II was not discussed.

Based on the foregoing, the application is in condition for allowance of all claims, and a Notice of Allowance is respectfully requested. If the examiner believes for any reason direct contact would help advance the prosecution of this case to allowance, the examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

PETER E. HART, JONATHAN J. HULL, JAMEY GRAHAM, AND KURT PIERSOL

Dated: August 22, 2006

By: /Robert A. Hulse/

Robert A. Hulse, Reg. No. 48,473
Attorney for Applicant
Fenwick & West LLP
801 California Street
Mountain View, CA 94041
Tel.: (415) 875-2444
Fax: (415) 281-1350